Ergodic Theory and Measured Group Theory Lecture 12

Mattubering, let S be a state splat of 11, 1 as before.
We hink of the Markov chain as a radion walk
on S, where we start with se S with probability
=
$$\overline{ti}(s)$$
 all more by S' ville prob. $P(s, s')$,
Thus, \overline{t} is the initial distribution. What's the
distribution after one step, i.e. after one step,
Unit's the prob let we are in se S?
Net's the prob let we are in se S?
Plant (S) $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$
 $P(s_1,s_1)$ $P(s_2,s_2)$ $P(w_1 = s) = \sum \overline{ti}(w_2) \cdot P(w_2, s)$
 $P(s_1,s_2)$ $P(s_2,s_2)$ $P(w_1 = s) = \sum \overline{ti}(w_2) \cdot P(w_2, s)$
 $P(s_1,s_2)$ $P(s_2,s_2)$ $P(w_1 = s) = \sum \overline{ti}(w_2) \cdot P(w_2, s)$
 $P(s_1,s_2)$ $P(s_2,s_2)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$
 $P(s_1,s_2)$ $P(s_2,s_2)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$ $\overline{ti}(s)$

De The Markov chain given by (II, P) is stationary it TI = TT. P. Equiv., the distribution at what state the valk is doesn't charge.

Machar measure on SN A Marhor chein on a state apare S, densted by m, is a post measure on earl S". For any basic clopen sol [10], where we sciN, define IPm[w] := m(w). Then IP_[w] = Z IP_[ws], which shows that IP_ ses is a premeasure on the algebra of chopen sets, hence extends to a prob measure on all Borel sets by Carableo docg's thorem. Recall ht slift s: SN 3 SN i, a Bonel function of we want to understand when a Markov measure on SN is s-invariat Prop. A Markov neesue IPm on SIN is itationary <=> it is shift-invariant. Proof. Take a basic set (w] at use kt i [w] = [][tw].

It's an exercise to show the $\mathbb{P}_{m}(s^{-1}[\omega]) = \sum \mathbb{P}_{n}([tw])$ $c \rightarrow \pi P = \pi$.

Det. A stochastic matrix P (i.e. cons add-up to 1 I nonnegetive) is called irreducible if the prob. of trasitioning from any a ES do any bES in some number of steps is positive, i.e. PK(a,b) > 0 for some K > 1. Prop. A Markov mensure ou S'N is shift-invariant al ergochic L=> TP=T d Pi, irreducible. Butchevis theorem (2000). Let IFd = < S > Vial let in be a Markov decenne on SIN hit is strictly irreducible of stationary. Then for every pup action of IFd on (X, J), even for L'(X, J), This generalises the Grigorchuk-Nevo Revoren. Moreover, the actual

statement is for actions of free semigroup (bridge genera hed). Theorem (Zomback - Ts), let Ity = <5>, there down is is the standard symmetric set of generators. let m be a stationary Markov measure on Sin, supported on IF2 exactly. Then for any purp a dear of IF3 on (x, r) d any $f \in L'(X, d^{n})$, $A \in \mathcal{L}$ $M = \sum_{m(\tau) \to \infty} f(\tau \cdot x) \cdot m(\tau) = F(f + B_{in})$. the identity finite This is implied by our buckword ergodic Kearen het re vill now try to state.

Backmard ergodic knone. Let I be a ctbl-to-one pup transfornction on (X, J). Recell Ut the dassical prince erg theore- says that UFEL'(K, L), for a.e. x cX, $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{Vicaye} of_{\Gamma} f over \underline{J}_{n}^{T} \times = \underline{E}(F | B_{T}),$ $\lim_{k \to \infty} x T_{k} T_{k} T_{k} \cdots T$

Backword & theorem lim weighted average of tover Da(x) = E(f10), where $\sum_{k=1}^{T} (x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot$

What we be verights? By the Lusin - Novikov withor informizedion theorem (learn DST!), there are Borel right inverses (on) were to T s.t. T'(x) = 2 Tux : nEINZ, E.g. in case of I being the whit on 2", Tolx) := 0x 1 T, (x) := 1x, These On one not measure preserving, but we may assure WLOG (hear 2957!) Ht Jung to Kere This, here i a Redon-Nikody- decirchive dring (x) with we treat as the weight of Norx relative to dir x. That gives a Barel function w: E7 -> IR* mapping lk, y) +> Wx (y) satisfying > wx(y)· w(2) = Wx(2). This we corrects he whickerighte of muncher the right-invoyes: $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}_{n}(A)) = \int \mathcal{W}_{x}(\mathcal{X}_{n} \times) df(x) -$ This w is called the Radon - Nikody cocycle of ET with respect to m. E.g. for the shift son 2th with M = {1/2]

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) &= \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) = \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1$$